

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,204	05/17/2005	Jae-Young Kim	3254-0127PUS1	2009
2292	7590 02/14/2006		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH &	EDWARDS, NEWTON O		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 ALLS CHO	1011, 111 22010 0717	1774		
				_

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>L</i> ~			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/535,204	KIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	N Edwards	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	m	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 ·			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/535,204

Art Unit: 1774

Lack Of Unity Election

The election requirement is made in accordance with 37 CFR 1.499.

Group I: Claim 1, directed to a product yarn.

Group II: Claims 2-4, directed to a process of making polyamide 6.

On one hand, Lack of Unity exist because the process of making the polyamide 6 composition of group I is not specially adapted (there is no extruding etc of the composition to make a yarn) for making a product yarn of group I. On the other hand, the special technical feature of (STF) is the 1.5 to 2.5 % Titanium dioxide in and amount of 35 to 95%. The forgoing STF is no present in group I. Hence, Lack of Unity is held by the Examiner in accordance with PCT rule 13 and 37 CFR 1.475.

A telephone call was made to James Eller of 1/25/06.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary

Examiner Edwards at telephone number 571-272-1521.

N Edwards

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1774